



Analysis of Web Application Worms and Viruses

Billy Hoffman (bhoffman@spidynamics.com

SPI Labs Security Researcher

Presentation Outline

- Why you should care
- Why these attacks happen
- Web application worms and viruses
- Analysis of Perl.Santy and MySpace.com web malware
- Hypothetical, worst case examples of web malware
- Guidelines for writing secure web applications





- Web applications are not going away
- Offer too many advantages to be ignored by businesses
 - Browser is a ubiquitous platform available on all operating systems and patch levels
 - Central location solves deployment, incompatibilities, and diverse deployed version issues
 - Easy to maintain a single server copy of software
 - Appealing for budgets: cheap to deploy and maintain
 - Large companies adopting web applications
 - Saleforce.com
 - Google's various apps
 - Microsoft's "upcoming" Windows Live, Office Live



 Web-based attacks are here

"Today over **70%** of attacks against a company's website or web application come at the 'Application Layer' not the network or system layer."

- Gartner Group





- Web-based attacks are not going away
 - Low barriers of entry
 - Lax security
 - Vulnerabilities are everywhere
 - Vulnerabilities are easy to find (Long's *Google Hacking*)
 - Re-use of common components (php[whatever]) makes multiple sites vulnerability to a single issue
 - Even if a site is secure, you have the entire Internet to find other vulnerable sites.



- Web-based attacks are high profile
 - Paris Hilton T-Mobile hack
 - MySpace.com virus
- Web-based attacks can yield the same results as a traditional attack does
 - Usernames/passwords
 - Credit card numbers/SSNs
 - Confidential or classified information
- Automated attacks, let alone self-replicating automated attacks, only makes these threats worse





- Web applications are complex!
 - Multiple technologies crossing multiple disciplines
- "Oh, that's not my responsibility."
 - Website designers
 - Internal and external
 - Programmers
 - Database admins
 - IT infrastructure admins
- The web application security gap
- Design of an application vs. the implementation of that application





Security Professionals Don't Know the Applications

"As a Network Security Professional, I don't know how my companies web applications are supposed to work so I deploy a protective solution...but don't know if it's protecting what it's supposed to."

The Web Application Security Gap



Application Developers and QA Professionals Don't Know Security

"As an Application Developer, I can build great features and functions while meeting deadlines, but I don't know how to develop my web application with security in mind."





Clearing Up Some Myths

- Layer 7 is dominated by very simple protocols
 - FTP, Telnet, SMTP, POP
 - We are only concerned about HTTP, HTTPS and extensions (WebDav)
- Don't confuse simple with limited!
- People tend to have a lot of misconceptions about web application security
 - SSL
 - Impact of common vulnerabilities like XSS



A Word About SSL

- "We use SSL; we don't have to worry about web security."
 - SSL creates an encrypted tunnel between 2 parties. It provides confidentiality, integrity, and authentication.
 - Depending on who you ask, SSL takes place at layers 5 or 6 of the OSI model. SSL is not an Application Layer (ie layer 7) protocol.
 - All the attacks I will talk about today are Application Layer attacks.
 - Every attack I discuss today will work against an SSL enabled website.

SSL does not protect you from most if not all web application attacks!

SPI DYNAMICS

A Word About XSS Vulnerabilities

- People have a perception that XSS is silly and not dangerous
 - Maybe true 5 years ago
 - Much worse now
 - AJAX, remoting, RegExs, speed and features of browsers
- People have the perception that XSS is difficult to create
 - Very site specific
 - Tedious to craft
 - Lots of trial and error (manipulate parameter, send, repeat)
- XSS creation is very easy to automate. Even when it's a complex POST or HTTP header attack
- "Metasploit for web apps!"
 - Payload is separated from positioning code to run payload



A Word About XSS Vulnerabilities

- Phuture Of Phishing Toorcon 7, Sept 2005
- http://www.spidynamics.com/spilabs/education/presentations.html

🤯 Xross	Site Crafter: "This technology has no legitimate use." - Tom Cross 📃 🗖 🔯
ht	tp://zero.webappsecurity.com Run Crawl
Find XSS	http://zero.webappsecurity.com/rootlogin.asp?txtPassPhrase=&txtName=XSS-EXPLOF http://zero.webappsecurity.com/pcomboindex.asp?cboPage=XSS-EXPLOIT-HERE
10 100	http://zero.webappsecurity.com/plink.asp?a=XSS-EXPLOIT-HERE&c=12
	http://zero.webappsecurity.com/banklogin.asp?err=XSS-EXPLOIT-HERE
XSS Search co	omplete



Overview of Web Application Worms and Viruses



Web Worms and Web Viruses

- Traditional attacks are still plentiful
- 2005 saw the release of self-replicating programs that automatically find and exploit web application vulnerabilities
- Web Worms
 - Propagates from host to host infecting each one
 - Conventional worms and XSS worms
 - Language independent
 - Somewhat OS independent (depends on vulnerability they exploit)
 - Runs on web servers (as httpd user)
 - Spreads by sending request to vulnerable target that then runs worm
 - Payloads can be pretty much anything



Web Worms and Web Viruses

- Web Viruses
 - Infects different pages or database entries on the same host (like classic EXE or COM viruses)
 - Written in JavaScript (possibly Java, Flash, but not viable because of sandboxing technologies)
 - Completely OS independent
 - Runs inside browser on client
 - Simply viewing an infected page with a browser infects new pages
 - Payloads are bad, even with DOM restrictions
 - Basic: Cookie-theft, keylogging, screen/form scrapping
 - Advanced: remote control, arbitrary commands as user



Propagation Methods of Worms and Viruses

- Exploits some vulnerability in a web application
- Sends specially crafted request which...
 - Executes code on target, or
 - Injects code into database, or
 - Can be more exotic (simply reflects script to user, cache poisoning)
- All attacks travel over HTTP

Surely that must be easy to detect and stop, right?



Detecting Layer 7 Attacks?

- Besides port 53, port 80 is the most common open port
- Just turn off 80 at the firewall? Kind of defeats the purpose of running a web application!
- Down to detecting "malicious" activity
 - Most people say "malicious" = !("normal")
 - "Normal" is a moving target
 - Types of users change (housewives during the day, teenagers at night)
 - Load changes with time and season (holiday shopping, morning in South Korea, etc)
 - Massive unanticipated traffic escalations (Slashdottings)



Detecting Layer 7 Attacks?

- Normal site use can look like an attack
 - Large POSTs (ASP .NET ViewState), File Uploads
 - People want their site to be crawled by automatic programs
 - **Deliberately** design their sites to be robot friendly
 - Massive hits from a small range of IPs is expected
 - Large sites expect hits from all over the globe
 - IPs from anywhere are expected
 - Complex forms/parameters with funny names or international characters
 - AJAX plays havoc with HTTP traffic filters (Base64 data, etc)
 - "End-to-end" Internet is gone: proxies/NAT are common
 - Anonymity enhancements, other User-Agents break state



Detecting Layer 7 Attacks?

- IDS/IPS evasion is easier at Layer 7
 - Packet-based vs. stream-based analysis
 - Robert Graham's excellent Toorcon 7 presentation
 - Encoding craziness (URL encoding, UTF-8, etc)
 - A period (".") can be encoded as %2E, %C0%AE, %E0%80% AE, %F0%80%80%AE, %F8%80%80%80%AE, %FX%80%80%80%80%AE.
 - IDS/httpd IP fragment hanging
 - Due to differences in how long IDS holds IP fragments vs. destination TCP/IP stack, IDS and destination see completely different HTTP requests!
 - Dan Kaminski is The Man!



How Does Web Malware Send Attacks?

- Conventional web worm
 - Executing code on the server, anyway you want!
 - Perl::LWP, Sockets, even netcat, curl, wget!
- XSS web worm, web virus
 - Restricted by JavaScript, but not by much
 - Unidirectional (from host to target) a.k.a. "blind requests"
 - Arbitrary GETs to any domain
 - Image objects
 - Script objects
 - Arbitrary POSTs to any domain
 - JavaScript's createElement builds hidden FORM
 - document.form[0].submit sends the request



How Does Web Malware Send Attacks?

- XSS web worm, web virus (continued)
 - Bidirectional (host and target can talk back and forth)
 - Not just GETs and POSTs, but TRACE, HEAD, Webdav?
 - Arbitrary HTTP to the **same** domain
 - AJAX
 - Server can't tell the difference!

```
GET /mt?n=404&v=w2.7&x=472&y=794&zoom=6 HTTP/1.1\r\n
GET / HTTP/1.1\r\n
                                                   Host: mt2.google.com\r\n
Host: maps.google.com\r\n
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:
Accept: text/xml,application/xml,application/xhtml Accept: image/png,*/*;q=0.5\r\n
                                                   Accept-Language: en-us, en; g=0.5\r\n
Accept-Language: en-us, en; q=0.5\r\n
                                                   Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n
Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n
Accept-Charset: ISO-8859-1,utf-8;q=0.7,*;q=0.7\r\n Accept-Charset: ISO-8859-1,utf-8;q=0.7,*;q=0.7\r\n
                                                   Keep-Alive: 300\r\n
Keep-Alive: 300\r\n
                                                   Connection: keep-alive\r\n
Connection: keep-alive\r\n
Cookie: PREF=ID=86e9ce4c2b9dd60f:FF=4:LD=en:NR=10: Referer: http://maps.google.com/\r\n
                                                   Cookie: PREF=ID=86e9ce4c2b9dd60f:FF=4:LD=en:NR=10:TM=11
```



Uncrippled AJAX: A Cracker's Dream

- AJAX is excellent for an attacker
- Seamlessness of Google Maps = Seamless attacks
 - iFrame voodoo (XSS-Proxy) is nice, but not perfect
- AJAX is crippled by the DOM Security model
- Holy Grail of XSS: Bidirectional communications tunnel to arbitary domains without a hard refresh
 - Yes, it can be done
 - Yes, you can do very bad things with it like complete HTTP man-in-the-middle just by visiting a webpage.
 - Black Hat Las Vegas 2006?



Web Application Worms



Web Application Worms (Detailed)

- Two types, conventional (seen in wild) and XSS (theoretical)
- Conventional web worm
 - Real, in the wild threat (Perl.Santy, variants)
 - Run on/by underline OS of the server
 - Almost in all languages: Perl, Python, interpreted languages allows for some OS independence (payload tends to be OS specific)
 - Exploits vulnerabilities in target host's web applications that allow remote code execution
 - SQL injection (gets database to execute code)
 - Poorly written PHP/Perl/Python/CGI scripts
 - Buffer overflows



Web Application Worms (Detailed)

- Conventional web worm (continued)
 - Finding new hosts to infect
 - Search web application code for references (10.*.*.* IPs!)
 - Ask a 3rd party (search engines, botnet, IM robot, etc)
 - Payload and propagation
 - Already can execute arbitrary code on server for payload
 - Sends requests with attack string to new hosts
 - Limitations
 - User account of exploited web application or web server
 - Underlying OS (chroot isolation, allowed scripting, etc)



Web Application Worms (Detailed)

- XSS web worm
 - Theoretical (MySpace.com attack was a web virus)
 - Runs inside the browser on the client (JavaScript, VBScript)
 - Exploits XSS vulnerabilities to run malicious script
 - XSS vulnerabilities are laughably common!
 - Payload and propagation:
 - Payloads are nasty and advanced (see previous)
 - Sends blind requests to infect backend databases of other hosts (forums, profiles, news stories, etc)
 - Victims view infected page in browser, script executes...
 - Limitations
 - Few imposed by JavaScript, DOM, but they don't matter



Web Application Viruses



Web Application Viruses (Detailed)

- Real, in the wild threat (MySpace.com virus)
- Backend databases for dynamic content is injected with XSS
- XSS code served with page, browser executes XSS which launches payload, infects more pages on same host
- Is "virus" the correct term?
 - Infects pages/databases on same host
 - Each infection increasing exposure of virus, runs more often
 - Cannot spread without host "program" (HTML, dynamic content, etc)
- Payloads
 - Geared more towards information stealing and destruction
 - Limitations actually prevents most host damage



Implications of a Web Virus

- Huge! Virus runs in any modern web browser
- Truly cross platform instead of carrying multiple payloads for multiple platforms
- Immune to conventional virus detection
 - Virus stored in database with other highly dynamic content
 - Anti-virus tools work on files, not text snippets
 - Anti-virus tools have file system hooks, not database hooks
 - Server file system, code paths, and binaries are never modified



Implications of a Web Virus

- Immune to any kind of "bad JavaScript" filter
 - Filters would have to be client-side; how does your clientside browser determine what is malicious JavaScript code?
 - To client browser, pages and script come from same legitimate origin (the web server)
 - Same problem as detecting "malicious" HTTP traffic
 - Malicious JavaScript looks just like regular JavaScript
 - Requests images, possibly from multiple, external domains (images.domain.com, blah.adserver.com)
 - Requests scripts from other domains ("link" ads)
 - Manipulates and modifies the DOM tree
 - Hooks OnEvents



Implications of a Web Virus

- Think I'm just selling fear? Compare traditional information stealing Trojan with a web application virus
- Consider a web virus that uses JavaScript to capture keystrokes and send them to a 3rd party
- Has infected a shared calendar page on a web-based CRM
- Any user viewing an infected page gets their calendar page infected (AJAX, blind POST, etc), spreading the virus
- One page view causes spreading; keylogger payload executes and can persist across all of CRM app, even uninfected pages like web-based email (see XSS-proxy, iframe remoting, etc)
- Integrity checks all pass because binaries are unmodified, hooks are intact, no cloaked processes or IPC, and user's browser is not modified. Works on all platforms, even PDAs!
- No trace of the virus other than occasional info leak to outside





- Conventional web worm (many variants)
- December 2004 Spring 2005
- Perl with LWP, Sockets (varies)
- Attack vector: Exploits phpBB highlighting bug for code execution by specially crafted input parameters
- Propagation:
 - Google searches with static string to find vulnerable hosts
 - GET requests with attack string, propagating virus
- Payload
 - Trivial page defacement of all html, php, etc documents



- Google search string provided choke point
- Static search strings stored inside the Perl source code
- Host selection algorithm extremely poor
 - Pick a ccTLD
 - Pick a version of phpBB.



We're sorry...

... but we can't process your request right now. A computer virus or spyware application is sending us automated requests, and it appears that your computer or network has been infected.



- No mutation of source code, search string, or attack string
- Payload was silly





Analysis of MySpace.com Virus



Analysis of MySpace.com Virus

- Web virus
- October 2005: Infected 5th largest domain on the Internet
- JavaScript with AJAX
- Attack vector: XSS exploit allowed <SCRIPT> into user's profile
- Propagation:
 - Used AJAX to inject virus into the user profile of anyone who viewed an infected page
- Payload:
 - Used AJAX to force viewing user to add user "Samy" to their friends list
 - Used AJAX to append "Samy is my hero" to victim's profile



Filtering Input Is Hard!

- MySpace.com did a very good job filtering certain words <SCRIPT>, JavaScript, innerHTML, certain characters likes "
- No <SCRIPT> not good enough
 - <DIV style="background('javascript:whatever')">
- Whitespace is your friend
 - 'tag.inne' + 'rHTML' 'java\nscript' String.fromCharCode()
- God bless the *eval* statement
 - Parses and executes JavaScript stored in a string
 - String doesn't have to be defined in JavaScript. Can be in the DOM
 - <DIV id="code" expr="alert('xss')" style="background('java\nscript:eval(document.code.expr)')">

See <u>http://namb.la/popular/tech.html</u> for all technical challenges



Infection Method Explained

profile.myspace.com

www.myspace.com





Analysis of MySpace.com Virus

- Awesome hack! No, I didn't write it.
 - I did present about XSS + AJAX attacks at Toorcon 7 a month before the virus hit
- Excellent proof of concept about how using AJAX is a security risk even though it obeys the DOM security model
 - Web server cannot tell the difference between AJAX requests and web browser requests
- Shows how AJAX + JavaScript RegExs can handle complex login sequences spanning multiple pages
- MySpace lucked out as it could have been much worse



Hypothetical, Worse Case Examples of Web Malware



Now put on your Black Hats!

- The Perl.Santy worm and MySpace.com virus were real world examples of concepts that web security people have talked about for years
- Both had very childish payloads
- So, what is a worst case scenario with these types of threats?
- Next, I present you with two hypothetical and truly evil examples of extreme web malware
 - Swogmoh Web Worm
 - 1929 Web Virus



- "HOly Mother of GOd, We're Screwed!" backwards
- Written in Perl::LWP
- Attack vector: Multiple SQL injection vulnerabilities of different web applications
- Propagation:
 - Use Google to locate new sites vulnerable to one of our SQL injection vulns
 - Mutate our search string to avoid bottlenecks
 - Allinurl: ~= inurl:
 - Add ignored words (the, in, of, at, a, an) or repeat words
 - Algorithm to generate English words or /usr/local/dict
 - Word order



- Propagation (continued)
 - If I don't get a results page, Google can detect search string
 - Randomly select next search engine
- Mutate virus source code
 - Interpreted scripts are easy to mutate
 - New comments, etc
 - Replace control structures (do: while = while, while = for, if-then-else = switch)
 - Encrypt the static strings with a different dynamically generated key **per copy**!
 - Perl is text parsing king. Complex text replacement is no big deal.



- Payload
 - Keeps track of successful infections by trying to GET magic page from victims. After 100 successfully infections, launch payload!
 - Known vulns = known apps = known database structures
 - Dump usernames/passwords to mailing lists, blog comments, or Slashdot so you can retrieve them
 - Or listen to the sound of 100,000 DROP TABLEs
 - Or INSERT INTO databases with garbage
 - Flood email systems, webs servers of major anti-virus companies, app creators to slow their response



- Impact and improvements
 - Will vary but generally very bad
 - Defeated by backups
 - Google might be able to filter search strings faster than anticipated, but that's why we have multiple search engines
 - Balance between number of hosts infected and payload must be researched to ensure maximum possible infections
 - Works with any remote code execution vulnerability
 - Abstract virus code from remote code execution code
 - Again, "Metasploit for web applications"
 - Pluggable interface for new vulnerabilities
 - Start virus with multiple vulnerabilities



- Infects a major stock trading site
- JavaScript with AJAX
- Attack vector: XSS exploit to get <SCRIPT> into forum, customizable stock profile, stock ticker, etc
- Propagation:
 - Uses AJAX/blind POST to inject script into other pages using credentials of any user viewing infected page
- Payload:
 - Uses AJAX to place buy and sell stock orders on your behalf
 - Complex confirmation pages are not an issue (short of a captcha, two factor authentication)



- Two modes
 - Online mode: virus decisions controlled by 3rd party
 - Research mode: virus makes buy/sell decisions by itself
- Online mode
 - Use iframe heartbeating (see XSS-Proxy) to send commands from external 3rd party to infected pages running in browsers
 - Inflict damage to stock market as thousands of users sell otherwise healthy stocks
 - Damage 1,000 individual portfolios simultaneously by buying junk stocks



- Research mode
 - Selects stocks to monitor
 - Randomly build stock symbols
 - Price/Earnings ratios
 - Trade volume thresholds



- AJAX used to sample these stocks at set intervals
- Calculate rate of change of stock price to find buying/selling trends
- When rate of change approaches zero we are nearing the top or bottom of a trend curve, sign tells us which direction
- Buys stocks at the highest prices
- Sells stocks at lowest prices



- Impact
 - Try explaining to the SEC that you really didn't make a trade
 - It came from your IP
 - You were online
 - Trades mixed in with other legitimate trades
 - Eventually stock trading site will find virus, remove it, and attempt to sort real trades from virus trades
 - Does not really matter in the end
 - External brokers will have made trade decisions based on effects of the virus' trades. The virus has affected the entire stock market.





- Ultimately, web malware occurs because of vulnerabilities in web applications
- Fixing the vulnerabilities stops both aspects of web malware
 - Initial injection and further propagation
 - Payload execution
- Your web applications are the bricks in the walls of your website.
 Do you really trust a brick you downloaded from SourceForge?
- 90% of web application security is validating user input



- Never trust anything you get from the client!
- Everything can be modified
 - "Hidden" HTML input tags
 - Cookies
 - URL parameters
 - POST data
 - HTTP headers



- Never use input you get from the client without sanitizing it
 - Enforcing data types
 - Only numbers?
 - Only letters?
 - Formatting (credit cards, telephone numbers)
 - Length restrictions (TinyDisk file system)
 - Escaping characters like < " ' | ; > to avoid SQL injection and XSS attacks
 - PHP/ASP all have built in functions for this. A well placed RegEx can stop most attacks.
 - Use a meta-language like Wikipedia [[link:]], etc



- Input validators should be implemented on both sides of a web application
 - Client-side validation should exist **solely** for performance issues
 - Server-side validators are the only way to enforce any limits
- Frontend code should properly represent backend code
 - Backend code for an HTML FORM that uses POST should only read values that were posted. (Request.Form vs. Request.QueryString, etc)
- Over engineering is very bad
- Applications should only provide enough functionality to work
 - If you have static content, do not use scripting technologies (ASP, PHP, JSP, etc) to serve it
 - LDAP directory vs. full SQL-driven relational database



Summary

- Web application malware is no longer theoretical.
- So far web malware payloads have been silly. Expect this to change.
- Web malware payloads can equal traditional malware in terms of damage and information leakage.
- Web malware operates on a different level than traditional malware. Defenses are not as readily available for these threats.
- For these reasons, web malware is actually **more** dangerous than traditional malware.
- Popularity and buzzwords are driving uneducated programmers into web application development, making the problem worse.
- Properly securing web applications inputs will stop most web malware.



Questions?







Analysis of Web Application Worms and Viruses

Billy Hoffman (bhoffman@spidynamics.com

SPI Labs Security Researcher